

Dear Sir/Madam,

Reference Numbers 20024331 and 20024332

I write one again regarding this project and now call upon the Secretary of State to recommend a 'split decision' so that -

- 1. The offshore turbines are recommended for consent.
- 2. The onshore infrastructure (ie Nautilus Interconnector) is firmly rejected in favour of full consideration of better locations for this infrastructure where the multiple adverse impacts are minimised eg at a brownfield or industrialised site.

I understand that the SOS has requested further details/clarification on various matters relating to the applications, including flood risks, badgers, newts and ornithology. I am not qualified to comment on these matters, so I will hereby merely reiterate that I firmly believe that the Applicants' proposals should adhere to the emerging government policy of greater offshore co-ordination to protect our environment - which we understand has been backed at the highest level in Parliament fairly recently. It follows logically from this that the onshore aspects of these projects must be rejected in favour of a Grid connection which offers the capacity to integrate multiple projects without having a devastating impact on local communities, both rural and urban, and also on our precious and protected landscapes (SSSIs, ANOB, etc).

We need serious, grown-up and joined-up thinking on the onshore aspects of all these types of application nationwide in order to protect our countryside and way of life.

Yours faithfully.

Simon Seymour-Taylor

